

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignim 22313-1450

		•	• •	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,931	12/26/2001	Toshiyuki Gendoh	725.1150	8193
21171	7590 06/18/2003			
	ALSEY LLP			
700 11TH STI	REET, NW		EXAMI	NER
SUITE 500 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001		TRAN,	LEN
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	\mathcal{L}
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	. 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Offic Action Summan	10/018,931	GENDOH ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE And	Len Tran	1725	
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Period f r Reply	p ars on th cover sheet	with the c rresp ndence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 h	<u>May 20</u> 03 .		
0-1/21	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal ma	atters prosecution as to the morite is	
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	yn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	oloolion requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ed or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeva	ance See 37 CED 1 85(a)	
11) Ine proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
ir approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	y to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12	miner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∐ All b)∐ Some * c)∏ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority documents in the priority docume	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents I	have been received in Ap	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been	received in this National Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 H.S.C. &	110(a) (to a provisional and line)	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provis 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p Attachment(s)	sional application has be	on received	•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
CO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action	n Summanı	Part of Paper No. 6	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luc (US 4,144,110) or Luc (GB 1 385 473).

US '110 and GB '473 teach the method of bonding two metal members one over the other, wherein the planar tip of the rotor is pressed against the first metal member (figures for both references). The rotor is stirred in such a direction that the rotor rotates in the thickness direction of the members, while keeping the metal in a non molten state, and wherein the tip of the rotor has a concave portion (col. 13, lines 47-55 of US '110, page 5, lines 65-70 and figure 2 of GB '473).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB '473 or US '110 as applied to claim 1 above in paragraph 3, and further in view of EP 0 893 189.

GB '473 and US '110 disclose the claimed invention above, but fail to mention using two rotors and removing burrs on the first metal surface.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to remove burrs due to the rotating and pressing motion of the rotor, since the rotation of the rotor would cause airflow at the surroundings of the working area, causing debris to be blown away.

In addition, EP '189 discloses using two rotors to friction stir both members for the purpose of expediting the process.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,931

Art Unit: 1725

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to use both rotors at the same time as taught by EP '189, in US '110 or GB '473 in order to expedite production.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that both Luc references fail to disclose stirring in a direction of a thickness of the metal members in the concave portion. However, examiner respectfully disagrees. Both references clearly show the claimed invention (figures). Applicant's argument regarding to "concave portion is against the surface of the lapped portion" is not in commensurate with the scope of the claims, since such limitation is not claimed. Therefore, claims 1-9 remain rejected.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/018,931

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3602 for regular communications and (703)305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT June 13, 2003

> M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5